| 1 2 | Randall J. Sunshine, Esq. (SBN: 137363 rsunshine@linerlaw.com Angela C. Agrusa, Esq. (SBN: 131337) |) | |
|--------|---|---|--|
| 3 | aagrusa@linerlaw.com LINER GRODE STEIN YANKELEVIT | ${f Z}$ | |
| 4 | SUNSHINE REGENSTREIF & TAYLO 1100 Glendon Avenue, 14th Floor | OR LLP | |
| 5 | 1100 Glendon Avenue, 14th Floor Los Angeles, California 90024-3503 Telephone: (310) 500-3500 Facsimile: (310) 500-3501 | | |
| 6 7 | Attorneys for Defendants DRIVE IN 24, LLC and MILK STUDIOS, LLC | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| - 9 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | Briese USA, Inc., | Case No. CV 07-07631 GHK (CWx) | |
| 12 | Plaintiffs, | JOINT STATUS REPORT AND STIPULATION FOR | |
| 13 | vs. | ADDITIONAL TIME FOR SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS IN | |
| 14 | Milk Studios, LLC, et al., |) RESPONSE TO AUGUST 17, 2009 ORDER RE: SETTLEMENT | |
| 15 | Defendants. | CONFERENCE | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | AND RELATED CASES. | | |
| 18 | | <i>)</i> | |
| 19 | · | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | JOINT FURTH | Case No. CV 07-07631 GHK (CWx) ER STATUS REPORT | |
| | 0041348/001/ 454049v01 | | |

1 Pursuant to the Court's request, Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant Briese USA. 2 Inc. ("Plaintiff") and Defendants and Counter-Claimants Briese Lichttechnik 3 Vertriebs GmbH and Hans-Werner Briese ("Counter-Claimants") hereby submit the 4 following joint status report for the related actions: (i) Briese USA, Inc. v. Briese Lichttechnik Vertriebs GmbH, et al., Case No. CV 07-2735 GHK (CWx); (ii) Briese 5 6 USA, Inc. v. Samy's Camera, Inc., et al., Case No. CV 07-3815-GHK (CWx); and 7 (iii) Briese USA, Inc. v. Milk Studios, LLC, et al., Case No. CV 07-7631-GHK (CWx). 8 9 Plaintiff's position 10 Plaintiff and defendants Samy's Camera Inc. and Mr. Samy Kamienowicz 11 reached a settlement in CV 07-3815. The stipulation of dismissal for these parties 12 was signed and approved by the Court on January 15, 2010. Settlement discussions with Briese GmbH terminated no later than December 13 .14 4, 2010 and more likely even before then. There were no settlement discussions 15 between these parties after December 4, 2009. 16 The timeline for settlement proposals between these parties are as follows: 17 September 15, 2009. Mr. Langton makes a settlement proposal to Briese GmbH. No counteroffer made by Briese GmbH at that time. 18 19 September 16, 2009. Settlement proposal in writing by email to counsel for Briese GmbH. 20 21 October 19, 2009. Through counsel, Mr. Langton proposes to meet with Mr. Briese in person with Mr. Briese in New York while Mr. Briese is in New York City 22 for a trade show. On October 27, 2009, counsel for Briese GmbH rejects that 23 24 proposal. 25 November 16, 2009. Written request made to counsel for Briese GmbH for a 26 point by point response to Mr. Langton's settlement proposals. Result: No response from Briese GmbH. 27 28 Case No. CV07-02735 GHK (CWx)

0041348/001/444562v01

November 25, 2009. In the parties' joint statement filed with the Court in the first New York action filed by Briese, Briese GmbH states it does not contemplate settlement at this time.

December 4, 2009. Counsel attended the initial case management conference before Judge Swain in *Briese Lichttechnik Vertriebs GmbH v. B2Pro and Brent Langton*, Case No. 09 Civ. 7279 filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. Judge Swain granted Briese's oral application to voluntarily dismiss the complaint in that action without prejudice in light of Briese's filing of a new action, Case No. 09 Civ. 9790, between the same parties.

Counsel for the parties conferred in settlement discussions after the case management conference. At that December 4th conference, Mr. Langton made a settlement offer for <u>all</u> ongoing litigation (California and New York), which Briese GmbH's counsel declined to consider, declined to respond to and Briese's GmbH's counsel got up and walked out of the conference room without making any counteroffers. No more settlement discussions take place after this date.

<u>February 5, 2010</u>. In the parties' joint statement filed with the Court in the second New York action filed by Briese, Briese GmbH states it does not contemplate settlement at this time.

On February 11, 2010, counsel attend the initial case management conference before Judge Swain in Briese's second filed action in New York.

Plaintiff's principal, Mr. Langton is arranging to meet with the principals for Milk Studios, Drive In 24 LLC and Monster Lighting within the next fourteen (14) days to discuss a possible resolution with those parties and possible settlement(s) with them.

Plaintiff respectfully request that the principals of the parties themselves i.e. the Brieses, Mr. Langton and Mr. Ortiz, by ordered to all appear in person before the court, or Magistrate Woehrle, to present their various positions discussed herein.

Plaintiff has pending a motion which it intends to renew against the Brieses for failure to attend the court-ordered settlement conference in Germany [Document 341] in 07-2735] which is currently under submission by Judge Woehrle. 3 Counter-Claimants' position 4 5 Pursuant to the joint status report that was filed with the Court on November 25, 2009, in which the parties informed the Court that settlement negotiations were ongoing, on December 15, 2009, Plaintiff's counsel requested a detailed settlement proposal from Counter-Claimants. In response, on December 24, 2009, counsel for Counter-Claimants sent a written, detailed settlement proposal to Plaintiff's counsel. On February 9, 2010, Plaintiff's counsel responded, for the first time, to the 10 11 December 24, 2009 settlement proposal by stating Plaintiff was not agreeable to the proposal. Plaintiff's counsel also indicated he was not interested in pursuing further 13 settlement negotiations. Therefore, the parties are unable to settle the CV 07-2735 matter. As such, pursuant to the Court's order dated August 17, 2009, Counter-Claimants will be filing a Motion for Contempt against Plaintiff within the next 15 16 fourteen days. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Case No. CV07-02735 GHK (CWx) JOINT FURTHER STATUS REPORT 0041348/001/444562v01

| 1 | With respect to the CV 07-3815 and CV 07-7631 matters, Plaintiff and | |
|----------|---|--|
| 2 | defendants Samy's Camera Inc. and Mr. Samy Kamienowicz reached a settlement in | |
| 3 | CV 07-3815. The stipulation of dismissal for these parties was signed and approved | |
| 4 | by the Court on January 15, 2010. Plaintiff's counsel has indicated that Plaintiff's | |
| 5 | principal, Mr. Langton, is arranging to meet with the principals for Milk Studios, | |
| 6 | Drive In 24 LLC and Monster Lighting in the next fourteen days to discuss a possible | |
| 7 | resolution with those parties and possible settlement(s) with them. | |
| 8 | The state of the state of | |
| 9 | Respectfully submitted, | |
| 0 | Pated Coloniary 12 2010 TINED COOTSE CEDENT STANISED DISTERS | |
| 11 | Dated: February 12, 2010 LINER GRODE STEIN YANKELEVITZ SUNSHINE REGENSTREIF & TAYLOR LLP | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | By: Hagen Agrees | |
| 14 | Angela C. Agrusa () Attorneys for BRIESE | |
| 15 | LICHTTECHNIK VERTRIEBS GmbH and HANS-WERNER BRIESE | |
| 16 | DRIEGE | |
| 17 | Dated: February 12, 2010 SCHEWE AND ASSOCIATES | |
| 18 | SCAR OLD | |
| 19 | By: Lowerd C. Schewe | |
| 20 | Attorneys for BRIESE USA, INC., a California corporation | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 26 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 28 | | |
| 4ŏ | | |
| | 4 Case No. CV07-02735 GHK (CWx) JOINT FURTHER STATUS REPORT | |
| | 0041348/001/444562401 | |